COVER PAGE Submission for Motor Speech Disorders Track, Conference on Motor Speech

Title:

Impairments in complex language and coverbal gestures in Idiopathic Parkinson Disease

Authors:	Correspondence addresses:
Susan Duncan, PhD <deng@uchicago.edu> Alexia Galati, MA <alexgal@uchicago.edu> Whitney Goodrich, MA <whitney@uchicago.edu></whitney@uchicago.edu></alexgal@uchicago.edu></deng@uchicago.edu>	University of Chicago Psychology Dept. 5848 South University Avenue Chicago, IL 60637 tel: 773-702-8832 fax: 773-702-0886
Melanie Brandabur, MD	Parkinson Disease and Movement Disorders Alexian Brothers Neurosciences Institute 1768 Moon Lake Blvd. Hoffman Estates, IL 60194 tel: 847-755-8207 fax: 847-755-0470
Lorraine Olson Ramig, PhD, CCC-SLP <ramig@spot.colorado.edu></ramig@spot.colorado.edu>	University of Colorado at Boulder Speech, Language and Hearing Sciences SLHS 409UCB Boulder, CO 80309-0409 Tel: 303-492-3023 Fax: 303-260-7471

Impairments in complex language and coverbal gestures in Idiopathic Parkinson Disease

Susan Duncan, Alexia Galati, Whitney Goodrich, Lorraine Olsen Ramig, and Melanie Brandabur

ABSTRACT

People produce meaningful bodily and facial movements when speaking. Gesture, facial affect, and speech prosody are linked and integral aspects of complex language production. They illuminate the production process at several levels of discourse analysis; e.g., they: reveal speaker thoughts and discourse plan when speech content is uninformative or ambiguous, illuminate semantic continuity between utterances, help to establish and maintain discourse themes, and punctuate points of contrastive discourse focus. Further, as persisting embodied representations, gestures support working memory, aiding the construction of coherent discourse. Given their communicative and cognitive significance, it is important to study these behaviors in individuals with Idiopathic Parkinson Disease, a neuromotor disorder that affects control of a range of bodily movements, and has known effects on articulation, voice, prosody, and manifestation of affect. We document impaired discourse structure and paucity of discourse content in 18 individuals with moderately severe IPD, who were videotaped telling a story. Deficiencies in complex language use correlate with lower rates of gesture production and low-specificity of gesture forms, compared to normals; also, with confusions seemingly brought on by aberrant timing relationships between hesitantly-produced gestures and co-expressive speech.

Coverbal production of meaningful gesture is a universal feature of complex language use (Ekman & Friesen 1969; Kendon 1972, 1980, 2000; McNeill 1985, 1992), one on which neurogenic language disorders appear have an impact (Duncan 2002). Gesture, facial affect, and speech prosody are linked and integral aspects of language production (Bolinger 1983; Schegloff 1984; Nobe 1996; McNeill & Duncan 2000). These illuminate the production process at several levels of discourse analysis; e.g., by: revealing speaker thoughts and discourse plan when speech content is uninformative or ambiguous, illuminating semantic continuity between utterances, helping to establish and maintain discourse themes, and punctuating points of contrastive discourse focus (Duncan & Loehr). As persisting embodied representations, gestures support working memory, aiding the construction of coherent discourse (Iverson & Goldin-Meadow 1998; Goldin-Meadow et al. 2001). Given their communicative and cognitive significance, it is important to study these behaviors in individuals with Idiopathic Parkinson Disease, a neuromotor disorder that affects a range of bodily movements (Hoehn & Yahr 1967; Brandabur 2001). IPD has known effects on articulation, voice, prosody, and expression of affect (Ramig 1998; Spielman 2000). The disease also has disabling effects on aspects of complex language use (Lewis et al. 1998), similar to what is observed in frontal lobe and right hemisphere damage syndrome language disorders (McDonald 1993). The present research uses discourse analysis informed by observation of coverbal gesture, to add to our knowledge about language deficits in IPD; also, the roles in language production of subcortical brain structures (Murdoch 2001), motoric representations, and working memory (cf., Gabrieli, et al. 1996; Stebbins et al. 1999).

METHODS

Participants. Eighteen IPD patients, ages 45 to 76, were judged by their neurologists to be stably medicated and at stage two or three on the six-stage Hoehn and Yahr (1967) scale of IPD severity. A comparison sample of non-impaired participants, ages 40 to 68, was drawn from a corpus of narrative data elicited using the same procedure.

Procedure. We elicited connected narrative discourse using a 6.5-minute, animated cartoon stimulus. Each participant watched the cartoon and was then videotaped telling the story from memory to a naïve listener.

Analysis. Speech was transcribed in detail, including all pauses, self-interruptions, and dysfluencies. Professional-grade, hi-8 VCRs were used, permitting precise assessments of gesture-speech synchrony and close observation of gesture forms. Transcripts were annotated for co-occurrence of gestures with intervals of speech (see Duncan et al. 1995). The gesture data from each narration were analyzed independently by two experienced observers.

The total number of words and of gestures in each narration were tallied. Gesture form, execution, and meaning in relation to the co-occurring speech was noted, together with any referential value it shared with other gestures, or with spoken utterances in the preceding or following discourse. Finally, each speaker's recall of the cartoon's eight episodes and scenes within episodes were charted, noting instances of omission, re-ordering, fragmentation, conflation, digression, and confabulation.

RESULTS

The IPD participants' narrations varied in length from about 4.5 to 9 minutes. Speech quality was typical of individuals with IPD (Ramig 1998). Deficits included reduced loudness, breathy voice with 'fry,' flattened prosody, intervals of dysarthria and dysfluency, including hesitant speech and stutter. Some speakers showed intervals of speeded speech.

Quality of complex language use varied greatly across the sample of IPD speakers. However, all of their narrations were reduced, compared to those of the non-impaired speakers, in some or all of the following ways: shorter both in number of words and in cartoon episodes and scenes recalled, lower ratios of gestures to words, fewer distinct or differentiated gesture forms and less descriptive detail in speech, less perseverative use of marked gesture forms and locations in gesture space as indexes or carriers of discourse entities. In addition, many narrations were less coherent, due to omissions, fragmentation, and conflation of unrelated scenes; digressions, and confabulations. Finally, there sometimes occurred excessively long, hesitant gesture preparatory phases associated with speech disruptions, as—apparently—gesture images emerged so far in advance of co-expressive speech as to confuse the speaker or overload the production process. This anomaly of gesture-speech synchrony is largely unattested in non-impaired speakers.

DISCUSSION

In this descriptive study, we document impaired discourse structure and paucity of discourse content in individuals with moderately severe IPD in a complex language task. Narrative deficiencies correlate with lower rates of gesture production, simplified gesture forms, and low incidence of perseverative use of gesture forms and locations in gesture space to index overarching discourse themes; also, with confusions linked to aberrant timing relationships between gestures and co-expressive constituents of spoken discourse, interpreted as evidence of anomalous discourse planning strategies.

Preferred format: oral

Key words: Idiopathic Parkinson Disease, complex language, coverbal gesture Audiovisual requirement: powerpoint projection

REFERENCES

Bolinger, D.L. (1983). Intonation and gesture. American Speech, 58:156-174.

Brandabur, M. (1999). Current therapy in Parkinson's disease. Surgical Neurology, 52:318-22.

Duncan, S. (2002). Preliminary data on effects of behavioral and levodopa therapies on speech-accompanying gesture in Parkinson disease. In *Proceedings of the International Society of Speech and Language*, Rundle Mall, S.A., Australia, 2002.

- Duncan, S. & Loehr, D. (in preparation). The relationship between gesture production and discourse focus in narrative language.
- Duncan, S., McNeill, D., & McCullough, K.-E. (1995). How to transcribe the invisible—and what we see. KODIKAS/CODE Ars Semiotica, 18(1-3):75-94.
- Ekman, P. & Friesen, W. (1969). The repertoire of nonverbal behavior categories. Origins, usage, and coding categories. Semiotica, 1:49-98.

Gabrieli, J.D.E., Singh, J., Stebbins, G.T., & Goetz, C.G. (1996). Reduced working memory span in Parkinson's disease: Evidence for the role of a frontostriatal system in strategic memory. Neuropsychologia,10:322-332.

- Goldin-Meadow, S., Nusbaum, H., Kelly, S.D., and Wagner, S. (2001). Explaining math: Gesture lightens the load. Psychological Science, 12(6):516-522.
- Hoehn, M. & Yahr, M. (1967). Parkinsonism: Onset, progression, and mortality. Neurology, 19:427-442
- Iverson, J. & Goldin-Meadow, S. (1998) Why people gesture when they speak. Nature, 396:228.
- Kendon, A. (1972). Some relationships between body motion and speech: An analysis of an example. In A. Siegman and B. Pope (eds.), Studies in dyadic communication (pp.177-210). New York: Pergamon Press.
- Kendon, A. (1980). Gesticulation: Two aspects of the process of utterance. In M.R. Key (ed.), The relation between verbal and nonverbal communication, (pp.207-227). The Hague: Mouton.
- Kendon, A. (2000). Gesture and speech: Unity or duality? In D. McNeill (ed.) Language and gesture. Cambridge, Engl.: Cambridge University Press.
- Lewis, F.M., Lapointe, L.L., Murdoch, B. & Chenery, H.J. (1998). Language impairment in Parkinson's disease. Aphasiology, 12(3):193-206.
- McDonald, S. (1993). Viewing the brain sideways? Frontal versus right hemisphere explanations of non-aphasic language disorders. Aphasiology, 7(6):535-549.

McNeill, D. (1985) So you think gestures are nonverbal? Psychological Review, 92:350-371.

- McNeill, D. (1992). Hand and mind: What gestures reveal about thought. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- McNeill, D. & Duncan, S. (2000) Growth points in thinking-for-speaking. In D. McNeill (ed.) Language and gesture (pp.141-161). Cambridge, Engl.: Cambridge University Press.
- Murdoch, B.E. (2001). Subcortical brain mechanisms in speech and language. Folia Phoniatrica et Logopaedica, 53:233-251.
- Nobe, S. (2000). Cognitive rhythms and representational gestures. In D. McNeill (ed.) Language and gesture. Cambridge, Engl.: Cambridge University Press.
- Ramig, L. (1998). Speech and voice disorders in Parkinson disease and their treatment. In Cherney, L. (ed.), Topics in Geriatric rehabilitation. Gaithersburg, MD: Aspen Publishers, Inc..
- Ramig, L.O., Countryman, S., Thompson, L.L., & Horii, Y. (1995). Comparison of two forms of intensive speech treatment for Parkinson disease. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 38:1232-1251.
- Ramig, L. & Dromey, C. (1996). Aerodynamic mechanisms underlying treatment-related change in vocal intensity in patients with Parkinson disease. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 39:798-807.
- Schegloff, E. (1984). On some gestures' relation to talk. In J.M. Atkinson & J.C. Heritage (eds.) Structures of social action: Studies in conversation analysis. Cambridge, Engl.: Cambridge University Press.
- Spielman, J.L. (2000). The effects of intensive voice therapy (LSVT) on vocal and facial expressions of Idiopathic Parkinson's Disease: Preliminary data. Unpublished Masters thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder, Dept. of Speech, Language, and Hearing Sciences.
- Stebbins, G.T., Gabrieli, J.D.E., Mascari, F., Monti, L., & Goetz, C.G. (1999). Delayed recognition memory in Parkinson's disease: A role for working memory? Neuropsychologia, 37(4):503:510.